

## Aquatic Invasive Species Control Efforts in Austin, Texas

Gulf & South Atlantic Regional Panel on Aquatic Invasive Species Austin TX October 4, 2011



### Lake Austin

• 1600 acres, 21 mi long

7 m deep

• Clear, cold, low nutrient water

- Potable water supply
- Boating, skiing, sport fishing
- Privately owned shoreline
- City, LCRA, TPWD, County jurisdictions

## Lake Austin Aquatic Vegetation

- Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) dominant
  - Controlled by biennial winter drawdowns
  - In less than 12 ft
- July 1999
  - 23 acres Hydrilla (Hydrilla verticillata), 10 % of total veg
  - First found at boat ramps
- July 2000
  - 200 acres, 40% of total veg
  - Up to 20 ft deep
- July 2002
  - 320 acres, bank to bank











### Lake Austin Hydrilla Management Plan

- Partners:
  - COA, TPWD, LCRA, FOLA
- Objectives:
  - Pre-hydrilla condition
  - Maintain lake ecosystem





### Integrated Efforts:

- Winter drawdown
- Harvesting/herbicides on mats
- Incremental grass carp stocking
- Stocking based on veg surveys

## Initial obstacles to grass carp







### Plan Implementation 8125 fish stocked before significant change



#### And life was good... Until 2011 23,400 fish...still 536 acres





### Stocking Rate by lake acre or hydrilla acre?





# Taking Stock

- Grass carp play critical role in hydrilla control
- Other factors:
  - Non-palatable vegetation
  - Floods and drawdowns





#### Why the recent increase?

- Drought means warmer water, more nutrients...no end in sight
- 2007 Last scouring flood
- Migration
- Mortality 23,400 stocked, est 10,000 alive

## Lady Bird Lake

- 6 mile long, 7 urban tributaries
- Increased turbidity, temp, nutrients
- Highly used public shoreline

Urban refuge for wildlifeAt least 7 invasive species

## Lady Bird Riparian Restoration

Improve habitat, water quality

 Remove invasives
 Plant natives





- Provide a model for wider effort
- City-wide Invasive Species Mgmt Plan

# Arundo donax Giant cane

20 ft tall, spreads by fragments, rhizomes
Monoculture, pushes out natives
Uses 3 X water
Limited wildlife habitat and public benefit
Increases fire danger
Hiding place for transients and trash

## Lady Bird Lake Arundo

- 3.4 acres
- Spread along entire 5 mile shoreline
- Typically on steep slopes
- Size range- single plant to 150 ft long patch
- Monoculture or in mixed stands of hardwoods







### Arundo control efforts

#### Mid-summer

- Cut plants to decrease biomass, limit impacts
  - non-target plants
  - lake and trail users
- Compost material at City facility

### Early fall

- 4 ft of re-growth
- Apply herbicide
  - 5% Imazamox + 1 % MSO
  - 2% Imazamox
    4
    1 % Glyphosate
    4
    1 % MSO

### Next spring

Re-treat as needed



## Elephant ear, wild taro Colocasia esculenta

Covers at least 50 % of shoreline
Shades out native grasses
Little to no wildlife or public benefit
Traps trash and debris
Provides some erosion control

# Pilot project

- Three replicates of each treatment
- Two herbicides
  - Imazamox (Clearcast), with MSO
  - Glyphosate (Refuge) with NIS
- Three application techniques
  - Cut and paint



- Wick or glove-in-glove (cotton on top neoprene)
- Foliar spray
- 1 m<sup>2</sup> plots, with .5 m buffer zone
- Mid-August treatment
- Evaluation 4 weeks after treatment (WAT) and 8 WAT

## **Treatment Details**

- Cut and Paint:
  - 100% glyphosate
  - 100% imazamox
- Foliar Spray:
  - 1.6% glyphosate + 0.25% NIS
  - 5% imazamox + 0.5% MSO
- Wicking
  - 50% glyphosate + 0.25% NIS
  - 50% wick imazamox + 0.5% MSO





# (Very) Preliminary Results

- At 4 WAT, there was slightly better control (visually) in the wicked plots than foliar plots.
- For both the wicked and foliar plots, glyphosate appeared to provide better control
- Cut and paint plots showed signs of new growth
- Mid-October evaluation may show more control for imazamox, it is slower acting



### Austin Lakes Aquatic Plant Restoration Project

City of Austin Lewisville Aquatic Ecosystem Research Facility (LAERF)

#### GOAL

Increase native plant diversity and cover on both lakes

#### LIMITS

- Funding
- Size of lakes
- Private property

#### WHY?

- Provide habitat and water quality benefits w/o invasives
- Reduce niches for hydrilla
- Reduce impacts from grass carp

#### HOW?

- Founder colony approach
  - Provide propagules
  - Natural spread in lake

## Founder Colonies

- Small protected plant colonies
- Diverse mix of species
  - multiple depths and growth habits
- Develop sufficient biomass (fragments, propagules) to overcome herbivore pressure

#### Benchmarks of success:

- 1. Survival and spread inside cages
- 2. Spread outside cages
- 3. Spread beyond / between sites



### **Project Design**

- 10 sites on each lake, now 20
- 7 species, two growth habits – emergent, submersed
- 1-2 ft depths
- Herbivore exclosures
  - Tray and ring cages



Built on-site PVC coated wire





# Challenges



2005 Lake Austin drawdown

#### 2004-2008

- Five species survived and spread
  - Pontederia, Sagittaria, Justicia
  - Vallisneria, Heteranthera
- Cages damaged by flooding, vandalism
- Herbivore pressure





### 2009- Large Pens

Increased growth and propagule production





# Lake Austin before and after



# Lake Austin 2009-2010

### Sagittaria spread 20 m beyond cages

### Lady Bird Lake



- Increased diversity and cover
- Spread outside cages

### Lake Austin

- Herbivory, drawdowns, floods, human impacts
- Invasives limit spread
  - Faster drawdowns recovery
  - Less palatable to herbivores
  - Dense canopy limits light

### Lady Bird

- Natives overcome limits to invasive plants
- Large pens are key to overcome herbivores



### **Current Status**

 Well established founder colonies
 Mix of 12 diverse species





Significant spread outside exclosures Spread well beyond and between sites

#### 'Free' colonies on Lady Bird Lake



Pontederia seedlings,

#### •No single "end point"

•Overcoming herbivory varies by species

•2011 New pens, new techniques



