Zebra Mussels in Texas: Implications For Southern States

The University of Texas at Arlington

Robert F. McMahon Professor Emeritus Department of Biology



Department of BiologyCenter for BiologicalThe University of Texas at ArlingtonMacrofouling Research



Outline

- Invasive Biology and Impacts of Zebra and Quagga Mussels
- Overview of Past Texas Dreissenid Research
- Recent Texas Dreissenid Research
- Zebra Mussels in Texas Water Bodies?
- Threat to Gulf States
- Conclusions

Invasive Biology and Impacts of Dreissenid Mussels



Zebra and Quagga Mussels

- Originally endemic to Europe
- Introduced to North America in ~ 1986
- Found in Lake St. Clair and eastern basin of Lake Erie in 1989
- Rapidly spread throughout major US and Canadian drainage systems east of the Rocky mountains
 - Great Lakes drainage, the Mississippi River, and its eastern tributaries, lower Missouri River, Arkansas River and isolated lakes and rivers
 - Rapid dispersal through navigable waterways on commercial vessels
 - Dispersed more slowly to isolated water bodies (i.e., overland transport)
 - Quagga mussels recently found in Lake Mead, lower Colorado River, and lakes in southern California
 - Zebra Mussel recently found in San Justo Reservoir Central California
- Most costly macrofouling and ecological pests ever introduced to North American freshwaters

Dreissenid Shell Morphology



Zebra Mussel Dreissena polymorpha



Quaaga Mussel Dreissena rostriformis bugensis



























Present Quagga Mussel Distribution in the United States





































Zebra Mussel Filter Feeding







Filter Feeding

- Zebra and quagga mussels both efficiently filter bacterioplankton (< 1 μm)
- Large adults may filter up to 1 L / hr
- Average ≈ 1.5 L / Day
- 150,000 L / Day at a density of 100,000 mussels / m²
- Results in rapid clarification of infested waters
- Removes phytoplankton impacting energy flow through food webs
- Quagga mussels are more efficient at filtering bacteria
- Leads to eventual replacement of zebra mussels by quagga mussels

Effects of Zebra Mussels on Phytoplankton in Lake Erie



Filter feeding Impacts



Dreissenid Mussel Impacts on Aquatic Ecosystems



Dreissenid Life Cycle

- Gonochoristic External Fertilization
- Fecundity as high as 1,000,000 eggs per adult female per year
- Trochophore (6-20 Hours)
 - No shell (≥ 40 µm)
- Early Veliger (3-4 Days
 - D-shaped shell (80-100 μm)
- Late veliger (1-2 weeks)
 - Umbonal shell (100-250 μm)
- Pediveliger (2-3 weeks)
 - Develops foot settles, crawls to attachment site (200-400 μm)
- Plantigrade (3-4 weeks)
 - Byssal attachment transforms to mussel shape (250-500 μm)
- Juvenile (3-5 Weeks)
 - Mussel-shaped shell (>400 μm)
- Spawning occurs at low levels > 10°C
- Spawning maximized at > 18-24°C
- Settle in three to five weeks





Dreissenid Population Dynamics

- Maximum age = 3-5 years depending on population
- Maximum adult size = 2.5 4.0 cm dependent on population
- Growth rate declines with increasing adult size
- Survival rate is low across year classes
- Adult growth rates and population density dependent on temperature and phytoplankton and bacterioplankton productivity
- High fecundity leads to development of massive populations within 3-5 years of initial introduction



FIGURE 19 Shell-growth rates in European populations of the zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorpha. Growth rates for North American populations in Lake Erie are similar to or greater than faster growing British populations depicted in this figure.

Water Quality Factors Affecting Dreissenid Mussel Distribution and Invasion

- pH: Inhabit waters with pH < 7.4</p>
 - Attain highest densities at pH > 8.0
- Salinity:
 - Do not spawn or successfully fertilize above 7 ppt
 - Iarvae do not develop at > 8 ppt
 - juveniles and adults do not survive > 5 ppt (14% SW)
- Turbidity and Suspended Solids:
 - Thrive in lower Ohio and Lower Mississippi Rivers at > 80 NTU units
 - Turbidity unlikely to be a factor in limiting distribution
- Organic Enrichment: Does not generally limit distribution except when associated with hypoxic conditions - will accelerate growth
- Phosphate Concentration: Found as low as 0.001 mg/L
- Nitrate Concentration: Not found below 0.009 mg/L
- Calcium Ion Concentration: Not found below 12-15 mg/L Ca
- Total Hardness: Not found below 25 mg/L Ca
- Alkalinity: Not found below 20 mg/L Ca

Water Quality Factors Affecting Dreissenid Mussel Distribution and Invasion (Continued)

- Potassium Ion:
 - Intolerant of waters with natural potassium concentrations > 30 mg/L K
- Flooding and Water Level Variation:
 - Limited success in rivers prone to extensive flooding and lakes with large annual level fluctuations
 - Large stable rivers and lakes with reduced level fluctuations are most prone to invasion
 - River populations sustained by mussel populations in upstream impoundments

• Pollution:

- Generally as tolerant of industrial and municipal water pollution as are native unionid and Asian clams
- Will not invade waters made chronically hypoxic by receipt of organic pollutants

Chronic Hypoxia Tolerance



Emersion Tolerance

- Summer emersion and desiccation (<10-20 Days)
- Temperature and humidity dependent responses



Desiccation Tolerance in Zebra Mussels at Different Temperatures and Relative Humidities



15

TEMPERATURE (°C)

20

0

0

5

10

McMahon and Ussery

25

30

Trailered Boats as Zebra Mussel Dispersal Vectors in Southwest US

100th Meridian Boater Movement in Western States Database







Britton and McMahon

AFLP Analysis of Dreissenid Mussel Genetic Diversity



- Analyzed genetic diversity for 16 zebra mussel and 6 quagga mussel populations
 Of various ages of establishment (<3->25 yrs)
- Compared for genetic differences with AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms)



Morse and McMahon

- Could not distinguish populations of either species based on AFLP
- All showed high levels of genetic diversity
- Suggested that there were no genetic bottlenecks or founder effects in recently established populations
- A large number of individuals are required to establish a population





Morse and McMahon

Invasion of Southern Water Bodies by Zebra Mussels



- Generally agreed long-term incipient upper thermal limit of zebra mussels was 28-30°C
- Generally agreed temperature for initiation of spawning was 16-18°C
- These temperature limits were used predict potential zebra mussel distribution in North America based on maximum summer surface water temperatures
- Recent successful establishment of zebra mussels Texas requires a re-examination of these assumptions

1°C Mean Maximum Air Temperature Isotherms (1961-1990) Source: Spatial Climate Analysis Service, Oregon State University





Chronic Thermal Tolerance of Zebra Mussels in the Southwest

> Ambient Water Temperatures of Lake Oologah (OK) and Winfield City Lake (KS)

McMahon, Leung and Morse

Selection for Chronic Temperature Tolerance in Zebra Mussels

- 25°C acclimated mussels exposed to a lethal temperature of 33°C
- Control sample held at 33°C until 100% mortality ensued
- Second sample to 33°C long enough to induce partial sample mortality
- Surviving individuals allowed to recover at 25°C
- Chronic thermal tolerance at 33°C of surviving individuals retested
- Experiment repeated three times





Percent Mortality after Chronic Temperature Section at 33°C for Varying Periods

Comparison of Median Survival Times Between Zebra Mussels from Winfield City Lake (KS) and Hedges Lake (NY)



Zebra Mussels Invasion of Lake Texoma (TX/OK)

- Lake Texoma was considered thermally resistant to zebra mussel invasion
 - Surface water temperatures reach or exceed 30°C in midsummer
 - Much recreational boat traffic from infested water bodies in Oklahoma
 - Establishing individuals likely to have evolved increased thermal tolerance in nearby southwestern water bodies (KS and OK)
- An adult zebra mussel was discovered in the lower end of Lake Texoma, on the Red River on April 3 2009
- Now recorded at numerous sites in the lower end of the lake
- Populations are rapidly expanding and increasing in density

Zebra Mussel Distribution in Lake Texoma (TK/OK)



The Question

- If zebra mussels can thrive in the warm waters of Lake Texoma can they invade other Texas Lakes with similar annual temperature profiles?
- Through the Quagga-Zebra Mussel Action Plan (Q-ZAP), the USFWS funded an effort to develop and test a zebra mussel monitoring and risk assessment system for 13 lakes in northeastern Texas receiving recreational boat traffic from Lake Texoma



Methodology

- Monitoring/risk assessment system design requirements
 - Must be simple, accurate, cost effective, easily applied
 - Must provide rapid risk assessment and detection
 - Must be readily applied by Texas Parks and Wildlife personnel
- 14 lakes sampled spring and fall 2011 when larvae were present (18-28°C)
 - Physical data recorded for risk assessment
 - Temperature data loggers in surface waters (≈1 m) for a year's period
 - Recorded ambient water temperature hourly
 - Ca²⁺ concentration
 - pH
 - O₂ Concentration
 - Plankton net sampling for mussel veliger larvae (60 µm mesh)
 - Microscopic examination of live samples immediately after collection
 - Cross-polarized light examination of fixed samples in the laboratory
 - Molecular qPCR testing (real-time, quantitative PCR) for larval DNA
 - 197 bp fragment of the rDNA ITS 2 region
 - John Wood, Pisces Molecular LLC, Boulder, Colorado
 - Scouring pad pediveliger settlement monitors
 - Allow rapid detection of settling pediveligers and juvenile mussels
 - Deployed for 3-4 weeks
 - Pads examined immediately in the field
 - Preserved for later, more detailed examination in the laboratory
 - Use of multiple tests increases likelihood of detection
 - Allows multiple confirmation of a positive result













2011 Spring & Fall Settlement Sampling

| Lake | Monitor Deployment | Monitor Recovery | Days Deployed | Monitor Deployment | Monitor Recovery | Days Deployed |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Arrowhead Lake | 6/1/2011 | 6/30/2011 | 29 | 10/21/2011 | 11/21/2011 | 33 |
| Lake Bridgeport | 6/1 2011 | 6/30/2011 | 29 | 10/21/2011 | 11/21/2011 | 33 |
| Eagle Mountain Lake | 6/1/2011 | 6/30/2011 | 29 | 10/21/2011 | 11/21/2011 | 33 |
| Lake Lewisville | 8/8/2011 | 7/1/2011 | 23 | 10/22/2011 | 11/19/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Ray Hubbard | 6/8/2011 | 7/1/2011 | 23 | 10/22/2011 | 11/19/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Ray Roberts | 6/2/2011 | 6/30/2011 | 28 | 10/22/2011 | 11/19/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Lavon | 6/8/2011 | 7/1/2011 | 23 | 10/22/2011 | 11/19/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Texoma | 6/2/2011 | 6/30 /2011 | 28 | 10/21/2011 | 11/19/2011 | 30 |
| Lake Tawakoni | 6/8/2011 | 7/1/2011 | 23 | 10/22/2011 | 11/19/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Fork | 6/8/2011 | 7/1/2011 | 23 | 10/23/2011 | 11/20/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Bob Sandlin | 6/7/2011 | 7/5/2011 | 28 | 10/24/2011 | 11/20/2011 | 27 |
| Lake O' the Pines | 6/7/2011 | 7/5/2011 | 28 | 10/23/2011 | 11/20/2011 | 29 |
| Lake Wright Patman | 6/7/2011 | 7/5/2011 | 28 | 10/23/2011 | 11/20/2011 | 29 |
| Caddo Lake | 6/7/2011 | 7/6/2011 | 29 | 10/23/2011 | 11/20/2011 | 29 |

Risk Assessment

Surface Water Oxygen Concentration



Lake

Surface Water pH



Surface Water Calcium Concentration



Surface Water Temperature



Lake Surface Water Temperature



2011

Lake Surface Water Temperature



Lake Surface Water Temperature



Surface Water Temperature



2011

Mean August Surface Water Temperature



Lake

Water Temperature versus Depth & Capacity



Risk Assessment Matrix

| Lake | 0 ₂ | рН | Са | Temperature [*] | Risk Level |
|---------------------|----------------|----------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Arrowhead Lake | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Bridgeport | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Marginal | MARGINAL |
| Eagle Mountain Lake | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Lewisville | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Texoma | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Ray Roberts | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Ray Hubbard | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Lavon | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Tawakoni | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Lake Fork | Suitable | Suitable | Marginal | Suitable | MARGINAL |
| Lake Bob Sandlin | Suitable | Suitable | Unsuitable | Unsuitable | POOR |
| Lake O' the Pines | Suitable | Suitable | Unsuitable | Unsuitable | POOR |
| Lake Wright Patman | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | Suitable | HIGH |
| Caddo Lake | Suitable | Marginal | Unsuitable | Suitable | POOR |

Suitable = Good to excellent for mussels Marginal = Marginal for mussels Unsuitable = Unlikely to support mussels

Monitoring for Veligers and Juvenile Settlement

Plankton Samples

- Microscopic examination of live and preserved plankton samples revealed presence of veliger larvae June – November only at Lake Texoma
 - Dense veliger concentrations on 2 June (24.0°C) and 30 June 2011 (29.4°C)
 - Low veliger concentrations on 8/10/2011 (30.7°C), 10/21/2011 (24.5°), 11/19/2011 (16.0°C)
- No veligers observed in either spring and fall plankton samples from the 13 other examined lakes



Veliger Shell Lengths



Settlement Monitoring

- Settled juvenile mussels recorded only on Lake Texoma monitors immersed from 6/2/2011 – 6/30/2011
 - Mean water temperature = 25.3°C over 28 days of immersion
 - Juveniles were relatively densely settled on the monitor



Settlement Monitoring



Settlement Density

 Numbers of settled juveniles in 10 randomly selected fields (3.142 cm²) under a binocular microscope on both sides of settlement monitor



Settlement Monitoring – Late Summer & Fall

- No settled juvenile mussels recorded on Lake Texoma monitors immersed from 8/10/2011 – 8/24/2011 or 10/21//2011 – 11/19/2011
 - Viable veligers present in the water column during both periods
 - Mean water temperatures
 - 8/10/2011 8/24/2011 = 30.12°C ±0.33
 - 10/21/2011 11/19/2011 = 18.67°C ±1.55



Lake Texoma Veliger Samples: Shell Lengths



Spring and Fall qPCR Results



Conclusions

- Zebra mussels appear to have evolved increased thermal tolerance in the warm water bodies of Kansas and Oklahoma
 - May have allowed zebra mussels to eventually invade Lake Texoma
 - May allow zebra mussels to invade warm southern water bodies
 - Lake Texoma could become an epicenter for zebra mussel dispersal to other warm water bodies in Texas and the Gulf States
- Because of the increased potential to invade warm water bodies, water bodies in the Gulf States should undergo invasion risk assessment for zebra mussels
 - Risk assessment indicated that 11 of 13 lakes in northeastern Texas could support zebra mussels
 - pH, Ca²⁺ concentration, summer surface water temperature
 - Nine of 13 Texas lakes appeared to be at high risk of invasion
 - Boaters appeared to be major vectors for mussel movements between water bodies
 - Analysis of boater movements (100th Meridian Boater Survey)

Conclusions

- Zebra mussel monitoring programs for warm southern water bodies should include:
 - Juvenile settlement monitors (scouring pad monitors)
 - Plankton net sampling for veliger larvae
 - Microscopic examination in field and under polarized light in the laboratory
 - qPCR for mussel DNA in plankton samples
 - Positive qPCR must be corroborated by visual evidence of veliger larvae or settling juveniles
- Imperative to develop a zebra mussel risk assessment and monitoring programs for southern water bodies in order to identify those most vulnerable to invasion
 - Allows prevention and education programs to be focused on water bodies most at risk
 - Allows early detection and rapid response to mussel invasion

Acknowledgements

Funding Agency

• U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Research Colleagues

• Dr. David K. Britton (USFWS) , John Morse (USFWS)

Partners

- Texas Department of Parks and Wildlife
 - Brian Van Zee, Dr. Earl Chilton
 - Arrowhead Lake State Park (John Ferguson)
 - Eisenhower State Park (Paul C. Kisel)
 - Caddo Lake MWA
- US Army Corps of Engineers (Brandon Mobley)
- US Fish and Wildlife Service

Providers of Sites for Settlement Monitor Deployment

- Tarrant Regional Water District Eagle Mountain Lake
- Lake Ray Roberts Marina (Bill Williams)
- Titus County Freshwater Supply District No. 1 Lake Bob Sandlin (Judy Barton)
- Kelly Creek Marina Lake Wright Patman (Leon and Shelley Jennings)
- Johnson Creek Marina Lake O' the Pines (Sam and Lyda Edwards)
- Popes Landing Marina Lake Fork (John Goergen)
- Tawakoni Marina (Larry Wright)
- Chandler's Landing Marina Lake Ray Hubbard (Joel Weiner)
- Collin Park Marina Lake Lavon (Joe Castro)
- Cottonwood Creek Marina Lake Lewisville (Jennifer Morris)
- Col. Richard Cary Caddo Lake
- Technical and Field Assistance
 - M. Colette McMahon (Research Technician)
 - Wesley Walters (Undergraduate Research Assistant)
 - Dr. Michael O'Neill (Volunteer)

Thanks For Your Attention